

INCOMING TELEGRAM

Department of State

ACTION COPY

43

Action

EUR
Info

SS

G

SP

L

INR

E

E

EUR

FROM: PARIS

TO: Secretary of State

NO: POLTO 3249, MAY 13, 7 PM

Control
Rec'd:

8360

MAY 14, 1959

12:06 AM

PRIORITY

SENT DEPARTMENT POLTO 3249; REPEATED INFORMATION BONN POLTO 573,
LONDON POLTO 873, MOSCOW POLTO 183, BERLIN POLTO 73.

LIMIT DISTRIBUTION

ALTHOUGH WE REALIZE PLANNING FOR POSSIBLE RETALIATORY MEASURES
ENVISAGED DEPTEL 4359 MUST AT THIS STAGE BE LIMITED TO
FOUR POWERS, REFTEL'S RECOGNITION ADVISABILITY HAVING
FULL NATO SUPPORT PROMPTS US STRESS MINIMUM PREREQUISITES
TO OBTAIN SUCH SUPPORT.

PRINCIPAL DIFFICULTY IS THAT POSSIBLE RETALIATORY MEASURES
WOULD HAVE TO BE RELATED TO SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF CONTINGENCY
PLANS ABOUT WHICH MOST MEMBERS NOT RPT NOT NOW INFORMED.
THEY WOULD WE FEEL INSIST UPON FULL BRIEFING AT LEAST
AS TO PARTICULAR SOVIET/GDR HARASSMENT MEASURES WHICH
FOUR POWERS WOULD REGARD AS JUSTIFYING SPECIFIC FORMS
OF RETALIATION. THIS COULD LEAD TO FULL DISCUSSION CONTINGENCY
PLANS.

SOVIET/GDR MEASURES OF ESSENTIALLY POLITICAL NATURE
AFFECTING STATUS GDR BUT NOT RPT NOT ACTUALLY
ALLIED RIGHTS OF ACCESS) NOT RPT NOT LIKELY BE REGARDED
BY SEVERAL MEMBERS AS JUSTIFYING KINDS OF RETALIATION
ENVISAGED. ON THE CONTRARY, THEY MAY REGARD SUCH RETALIATORY
MEASURES (AND MORE CERTAINLY ANY MILITARY ONES) AS UNNECESSARILY
PROVOCATIVE AND AS LESSENING CHANCES OF FINDING NEGOTIATED
SOLUTION.

WE ANTICIPATE THAT SOME MEMBERS (E.G. CANADA) WOULD TAKE
POSITION

SECRET

UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"
REPRODUCTION FROM THIS
COPY IS PROHIBITED.

PERMANENT

RECORD COPY • This copy must be returned to RM/R central files with notation of action taken.

NND 901036-43

This document must be returned
to the originator

762.0015-1356

CLASSIFIED FILE

DT

MAY 14 1959

Destroyed in RM/R

Date 5/15/59

Copy No (a) 51

~~SECRET~~

-2- POLTO 3249, MAY 13, 7 PM FROM PARIS

POSITION THAT, TO EXTENT SUCH RETALIATION MEASURES JUSTIFIED, THEY WOULD AMOUNT TO ECONOMIC SANCTIONS AND AS SUCH COME UNDER ARTICLES 11 AND 41 OF UN CHARTER. MOREOVER WE FORESEE NEED FOR STRONG LEGAL BRIEF CONCERNING POSSIBLE INFRINGEMENT INTERNATIONAL LAW AND CERTAIN SPECIFIC TREATIES (E.G. WITH REGARD DARDANELLES).

EVEN IF AGREEMENT COULD BE OBTAINED FOR PARTICULAR MEASURES, WE BELIEVE MOST, IF NOT RPT NOT ALL, MEMBERS AFFECTED WOULD INSIST ON COST-SHARING ANY FINANCIAL BURDEN. IN LIGHT CURRENT STATUS NATO COST-SHARING GENERALLY, WE ANTICIPATE DISCUSSION COMPLEX COST-SHARING ARRANGEMENTS LIKELY BE PROLONGED AND MIGHT PRECLUDE QUICK RETALIATION.

FOR THESE REASONS WE WOULD URGE THAT NAC CONSULTATION ON THESE MATTERS SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN ON BASIS CAREFULLY REFINED FOUR POWER PLANS AND NOT RPT NOT AS EXPLORATORY SOUNDING. BELIEVE CHANCES OBTAINING NAC CONCURRENCE, OR AT LEAST ACQUIESCENCE, WOULD BE ENHANCED TO EXTENT ISSUES COULD BE POSED IN TERMS LIMITED NUMBER, CONCRETE RETALIATORY MEASURES RELATED TO SPECIFIC CONTINGENCIES AND WITH ASSURANCE ANY MAJOR FINANCIAL BURDEN WOULD NOT FALL ON SMALL COUNTRIES.

WE WILL BE IN BETTER POSITION OFFER DETAILED COMMENTS AFTER RECEIPT POUCHED TEXT OF STUDY.

BURGESS

DM

KNOW

~~SECRET~~

901036 -44